

The "Three Possibilities" (pg. 167)

$$\begin{cases} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n = b_m \end{cases}$$

"m x n" system.

Upon reducing this system to RREF (reduced row-echelon form) via Gauss-Jordan Elimination, we always end up with the following form:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x_{j_1} + \sum_{j=j_1+1}^n c_{1j}x_j = d_1 \\ x_{j_2} + \sum_{j=j_2+1}^n c_{2j}x_j = d_2 \\ \dots \\ x_{j_r} + \sum_{j=j_r+1}^n c_{rj}x_j = d_r \\ 0 = d_{r+1} \\ 0 = d_{r+2} \\ \vdots \\ 0 = d_m \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} m \\ \text{eqns.} \end{array}$$

$\uparrow \quad \uparrow \quad \dots \quad \uparrow$
 leading variables

\uparrow
 collection of all free variables

• If any of the $d_{r+1}, d_{r+2}, \dots, d_m \neq 0$, we have no solution (i.e., the system is inconsistent).

Assuming $d_{r+1} = d_{r+2} = \dots = d_m = 0$:

- If $r = n$, we have no free variables, and therefore we have a single unique solution
- If $r < n$, we have free variables and can parameterize to obtain infinitely many solutions.
 - $r = \#$ of leading vars.
 - $n - r = \#$ of free vars.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 4 \end{array} \right]$$

$r < n$, free vars,
infinite sols.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{array} \right]$$

free vars, $r < n$, no sols.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$r < n$, free vars,
infinite sols.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 5 \end{array} \right]$$

$r = n$
no free vars,
unique sol.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right]$$

$r < n$, free vars
infinite sols

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$r = n$, no free vars, unique sol.

The "Three Possibilities" (pg. 167)

$$\begin{cases} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n = b_m \end{cases}$$

"m x n" system.

Upon reducing this system to RREF (reduced row-echelon form) via Gauss-Jordan Elimination, we always end up with the following form:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x_{j_1} + \sum c_{1j}x_j = d_1 \\ x_{j_2} + \sum c_{2j}x_j = d_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{j_r} + \sum_{j=j_r+1}^n c_{rj}x_j = d_r \\ 0 = d_{r+1} \\ 0 = d_{r+2} \\ \vdots \\ 0 = d_m \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} m \\ \text{eqns.} \end{array}$$

$\uparrow \quad \uparrow \quad \dots \quad \uparrow$
 leading variables

\uparrow
 collection of all free variables

If the $d_1 = d_2 = \dots = d_m = 0$, we have

- At least the trivial solution
- Only the trivial solution if $r = n$.
- The trivial solution ; infinitely many others if $r < n$.

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0 \\ x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1 \end{cases} \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

↑ ↑
Free

Systems

The matrix equation

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$$

represents a system such as

$$\begin{matrix} 2 \times 2 & & 2 \times 1 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

$$\begin{matrix} 2 \times 1 & & 2 \times 1 \\ \begin{bmatrix} (1x+2y) \\ (3x+7y) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} x+2y=2 \\ 3x+7y=3 \end{matrix} \end{matrix}$$

(we've solved this via Gauss-Jordan elimination... pref)

Previously, you probably used the Inverse matrix A^{-1} .

$$\text{If } A\vec{x} = \vec{b}, \quad 3x = 6$$

$$\text{then } A^{-1}A\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b} \quad \frac{1}{3} \cdot 3x = \frac{1}{3} \cdot 6$$

$$I\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b} \quad 1x = \frac{1}{3} \cdot 6$$

$$\boxed{\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}} \quad x = \frac{1}{3} \cdot 6$$

How do we find a matrix B such that $BA = I_n$? (And in general, we want the inverse to work in both directions, i.e., we want

$$BA = I_n \text{ and } AB = I_n$$

$$(m \times n)(n \times p) = (m \times p) \quad \begin{matrix} \text{requires } p=n \\ \hline \end{matrix} \quad (n \times p)(m \times n) = n \times n$$

but since I_n is $n \times n$, $m = p = n$,
so A & B have to be $n \times n$ as well.

So... how do we find B such that
 $AB = I = BA$?

Find the inverse of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ -2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ -2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}_{2 \times 2} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}_{2 \times 2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{2 \times 2}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a-3c & b-3d \\ -2a+6c & -2b+6d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$a-3c = 1$$

$$-2a+6c = 0$$

$$2a-6c = 2$$

$$-2a+6c = 0$$

$$0 = 2$$

$$b-3d = 0$$

$$-2b+6d = 1$$

$$0 = 1 \quad \text{!!}$$

* Some matrices
do not have an inverse.

We call such matrices
"non-invertible" or "singular."

Find an inverse for $A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 5 & 6 & 3 \\ 3 & 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 5 & 6 & 3 \\ 3 & 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{b}_1 & \vec{b}_2 & \vec{b}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I_3$$

3x3,
(each \vec{b}_i is a
3x1 column)

One way to view matrix multiplication is as follows:

$$[A] \begin{bmatrix} \vec{b}_1 & \vec{b}_2 & \vec{b}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A\vec{b}_1 & A\vec{b}_2 & A\vec{b}_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 5 & 6 & 3 \\ 3 & 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{b}_1 & \vec{b}_2 & \vec{b}_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} = [4 \ 3 \ 2] \vec{b}_1 & c_{12} = [4 \ 3 \ 2] \vec{b}_2 & c_{13} = [4 \ 3 \ 2] \vec{b}_3 \\ c_{21} = [5 \ 6 \ 3] \vec{b}_1 & c_{22} = [5 \ 6 \ 3] \vec{b}_2 & c_{23} = [5 \ 6 \ 3] \vec{b}_3 \\ c_{31} = [3 \ 5 \ 2] \vec{b}_1 & c_{32} = [3 \ 5 \ 2] \vec{b}_2 & c_{33} = [3 \ 5 \ 2] \vec{b}_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} A\vec{b}_1 & A\vec{b}_2 & A\vec{b}_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 6 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 5 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{-R_3} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 5 & 6 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 5 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} \\ -5R_1 \\ -3R_1 \end{array}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 16 & 3 & -5 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 11 & 2 & -3 & 0 & 4 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} \\ \cdot 11 \\ 16 \end{array} \quad \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 176 & 33 & -55 & 11 & 55 \\ 0 & 176 & 32 & -48 & 0 & 64 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{-R_2}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 176 & 33 & -55 & 11 & 55 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 7 & -11 & 9 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} \\ (\div 11) \\ \cdot (-1) \end{array} \quad \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 16 & 3 & -5 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -7 & 11 & -9 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{-3R_3}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 16 & 0 & 16 & -32 & 32 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -7 & 11 & -9 \end{array} \right] \div 16 \quad \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -7 & 11 & -9 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{+2R_2}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & -4 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -7 & 11 & -9 \end{array} \right]$$

I
 A^{-1}

We can check:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 5 & 6 & 3 \\ 3 & 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -4 & 3 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 \\ -7 & 11 & -9 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -4 & 3 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 \\ -7 & 11 & -9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 5 & 6 & 3 \\ 3 & 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

To find the inverse of an $n \times n$ matrix A , reduce $[A \mid I_n]$ to $[I_n \mid B]$.
 B will be the inverse of A , i.e.,
$$AB = I = BA.$$

Proof that matrix inverses are unique.

If matrix A is invertible, then there exists precisely one matrix B such that $AB = BA = I$.

Common approach to proving uniqueness is to assume that there is some other matrix that also works.

Proof: Assume C is some (possibly different) inverse of A . This means

$$AC = CA = I.$$

We also know $AB = BA = I$.

$$\begin{aligned} (BA)C &= (I)C && \text{"moment of proof"} \\ \text{matrix mult. is assoc.} \swarrow & \leftarrow && \\ B(AC) &= (I)C && \\ \swarrow & \leftarrow && \text{b/c } C \text{ is an inverse} \\ BI &= IC && \\ B &= C && \end{aligned}$$

\therefore our assumption that C is some (other?) inverse leads to the conclusion that C is the same as B .

- pg. 106: Shortcut for 2×2 inverses.
- pg. 107: Theorem 3.
 - $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A$.
 - $(A^n)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^n$.
 - $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1} \leftarrow$ (know this proof)

Solving Systems

$$\text{If } A\vec{x} = \vec{b},$$

$$A^{-1}A\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}$$

$$I\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}$$

$$\boxed{\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}}$$

- limited to square matrices that have inverses!
- Slow!